PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or a	gent's file reference								
P3008/I	_	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.		_	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/006000 03.		000 03.06.200)4	03.06.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Applicant GAUSS, Ralph									
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
	EPORT consists		sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.					
_	<u>-</u>	npanied by ANNEXES, comprising	:						
a. 🛭	(sent to the	applicant and to the International B	ureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))									
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4. This r	eport contains ind	ications relating to the following ite	ms:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report							
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion wit	h regard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
\boxtimes	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of t	his report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer						
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.						

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006000

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With	n regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	nal application in the language in w	hich it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purport		,
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	3503 01.	
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)	
2.		n regard to the elements of the international application, this a iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are		
	this	report):		
	X	the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:		
				or originally filed/fivnished
		pages*		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	_	181
	\boxtimes	the claims:	· · · · ·	
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.* 1-14		05.01.2005 with letter of 03.01.2005
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/3-3/3		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	received by this Authority on _	
	_	sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence Lis	ting.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
l		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
	_	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	Ш	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendathey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006000

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			(37)
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-8, 10-13	YES
		Claims	9, 14	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-8	YES
		Claims	9-14	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-6 045 740

- The following comments should be read in conjunction with the remarks in Box VIII of this report:
- 2.1 Document D1 (see column 4, lines 1 to 52, and Figure 2) discloses a process for producing an injection moulding from plastic, using an injection unit with a gate in the nozzle housing. The gate is connected to a runner which leads to a chamber with an outer needle and an inner needle for closing off the gate. First the outer needle is drawn back to create the chamber, and a metered quantity of plastic enters the chamber from the runner while the inner needle keeps the gate closed. Finally, after the metering stage the gate is opened by raising the inner needle, and the metered quantity of plastic is forced through the gate into a cavity by a lifting movement of the outer needle. When the lifting movement of the outer needle is complete, or at the end of a predetermined holding time, the inner needle closes the gate.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006000

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the teaching of D1 in that the plastic is drawn out of the runner when it is metered into the chamber, and in that at the end of the injection stage or release of the holding pressure the volume of plastic inside the chamber is close to zero.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel, and claim 1 meets the requirement of PCT Article 33(2).

The problem addressed by claim 1 can be seen as that of how to accelerate the filling process (see page 10, first paragraph).

The features of the solution according to claim 1 are not known from the prior art, and there is nothing in the prior art to suggest to a skilled person that these features can be used to arrive at the claimed solution. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not obvious to a skilled person, and hence claim 1 also meets the requirement of PCT Article 33(3).

2.2 Document D1 (see column 4, lines 1 to 52, and Figure 2) also discloses a device for producing an injection moulding from plastic. The device has a nozzle housing containing a gate connected to a runner, the gate in the nozzle housing being provided with an inner needle and an outer needle for metering the melt, forcing it in and optionally keeping it under pressure. The runner leads to the bottom of a chamber in which the outer needle is also fitted.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006000

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter of claim 9 therefore lacks novelty, and claim 9 fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(2).

- 3.1 The combination of features specified in claim 14 is also known from D1 (see column 4, lines 1 to 52).
 Claims 2 to 8 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore also meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3).
- 3.2 Because the technical features of claim 9 are already known it is not possible to determine at this time whether there is still a technical relationship (EPC Rule 30) between the various claims that are directly dependent on claim 9. It therefore does not seem possible at this time to acknowledge an inventive step or select essential features that would support an inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006000

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite document D1 and also fails to give an account of the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- 2. Independent claim 1 has not been drafted in the two-part form defined by PCT Rule 6.3(b), and yet the two-part form would seem to be appropriate in this case.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006000

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- The application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 for the following reasons:
- 1.1 A comparison of the process features of process claim 1 and the device features of device claim 9 shows that either the process claim or the device claim lacks "similar or corresponding features". The claims are therefore inconsistent with regard to their scope.
- 1.2 In claim 9 the phrase "for metering the melt, forcing it in and optionally keeping it under pressure" refers to a process for using the device rather than to the definition of the device in terms of its technical features. As a result the intended limitations are not clear from the claim (PCT Article 6).
- 1.3 Claim 7 is a device claim which is dependent on a process claim. The same applies to claim 12, which cannot be dependent on claim 8.